

Official consultee	Response received?	Appendix No.	For / Against S.167	Summary of response(s)
Hackney Carriage Proprietors (31)	Yes – 1	F	Against	- no hackney carriages on the list - cannot load / unload wheelchairs safely - cannot accommodate all wheelchairs
Private Hire Operators (17)	Yes – 2	G & H	Against	- cost in investing and maintaining specialist vehicles and specialist insurance - no incentive in operating a wheelchair accessible vehicle (WAV) if you cannot charge extra - WAVs may be removed from fleets as a result - takes longer to load / unload wheelchair passengers so potentially less work in a standard day but for the same fares
Lincoln Taxi & Private Hire Association	Yes	I	Against	- WAVs and appropriate training does not come cheap - drivers struggling to achieve minimum wage due in part to the cost of the badge / Council courses - takes time to find the right kind of driver to do this work, tolerance and patience required

					- if costs cannot be recouped then this service will no longer be able to be provided
Transport Services Group (TSG) – Lincolnshire County Council	No				
Age UK Ltd	No				
JUST Lincolnshire – local equality groups, communities and support groups	No				
Lincoln Disability Forum – Lincolnshire County Council	No				
Lincoln Volunteer Centre Services	No				
Children's Links	No				
Lincoln Tenants Panel – City of Lincoln Council	Yes (minutes)	J (104 of the minutes)	Against		- taking into account the cost of WAVs a premium fare would be reasonable - disabled people receive an allowance - the Council could have reduced licence fees for WAVs operating as hackney carriages or private hire vehicles - the panel did not think that private hire operators should have a condition on their licence stating that a % of their fleet must be wheelchair accessible
North Neighbourhood Working Team – City of Lincoln Council	No				
Central Neighbourhood Working Team – City of Lincoln Council	No				

South Neighbourhood Working Team – City of Lincoln Council	No				
Disability Ltd – Ancaster Day Centre	No				
Various nursing homes in Lincolnshire (29)	No				
Disabled person and able-bodied friend who had submitted a complaint re: Lincoln private hire driver (2)	No				
VoiceAbility	No				
Unofficial consultee	Response received	Appendix No.	For / Against S.167	Summary of response(s)	
Howard Collins (private hire driver)	Yes	K	Against	- reduction / disappearance of WAVs - disadvantage to me and my earnings, currently have WAV would change to saloon car - commercial reasons why WAVs are charged at a higher rate - extra travel time as small no. of WAVs in City - extra waiting time - never had a complaint about the premium fares - making all private hire vehicles WAVs would be too costly	
Douglas Lewins	Yes	L	For	- primary consideration should be the disabled people who use wheelchairs and not the expected upset of taxi companies	

				- members should have a regard to the Council's own policies in regards to equality issues and those with protected characteristics
Dick Fowler	Yes x 2 & provided link to research paper created by Doug Paulley	M & N	For	- WAVs are more necessary now but less affordable - a ¼ of wheelchair users have been refused service by a taxi driver purely because they are disabled - in the last 2 years most authorities have shown a slight reduction in WAV taxis and a small increase in WAV private hire vehicles